Coronavirus and International Affairs
Conference/Roundtable WEBINAR

Sponsored by the Coalition for Peace & Ethics, the Research Forum for Law and International Affairs, Penn State School of International Affairs, and Penn State Law

17 April 2020 | 09.30 a.m. – 12.30 p.m.
Webinar


Roundtable Description:

The emergence of a new strain of coronavirus, COVID-19, one of a more lethal strain of a class of virus that cause disease in humans, has had a profound effect on virtually all aspects of human activity. As of 2 April 2020, worldwide 900,306 cases have been confirmed, 45,693 COVID-19 related deaths have been reported in 206 countries by the World Health Organization (WHO, Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) Pandemic). But even those numbers are contested. For the same period Worldometer reported 51,703 deaths of 1,007,103 confirmed cases (Worldometer, Coronavirus Death Toll (28 Feb 2020)). The pandemic appears in every corner of the world. While the medical and health implications of COVID-19 are profound and tragic, the effects of the disease on governance, law, and international affairs will likely be even more significant and long lasting. As states, institutions, and individuals respond to the challenges, always contextually unique, all of the structures of the contemporary world have come under stress, and principally the structures and normative frameworks of globalization. These include everything from the free movement of investment, capital, and goods, to migration. The international ramifications have also stressed the constitutional orders of many states as the needs of responses sometimes might threaten domestic (and international) constitutional orders. At the same time, COVID-19 has opened a path to a revolution in the use of data driven governance, big data, and artificial intelligence in the management of society and collective responses to pandemic (Automated Law and COVID-19: Data Driven Measures With National Characteristics In China and Israel and the Future of the Law-Governance Complex; Data Driven Management of COVID-19: The Case of Taiwan).

In this dynamic context, the COVID-19 epidemic has brought out both the best and worst of individuals and human societies. Those best and worst impulses may eventually embed themselves into the patterns of behavior and expressed as law, policy or cultural bias. These impulses are brought to climax as borders are sealed, transport is severely constrained, and the constant focus on pandemic creates the sort of stress on individuals (jobs, mobility, sickness and the like) that produces suicide, madness, and other psychological difficulties. This Conference/Roundtable brings together experts in law and international affairs from Asia, Europe, North America and the Caribbean to discuss the collateral effects of COVID-19 in those terms. More specifically participants will speak to (1) misperceptions about the situation in China; (2) the use of coronavirus as a veil for racism; (3) national responses to perceptions of crisis; (4) effects of coronavirus on the movement of people, investment and capital across borders; (5) consequences of coronavirus for the state of international affairs and legal structures (e.g., quarantines, education, supply and production chains, human rights versus collective responsibilities, etc.); (6) repercussions for big global trade projects, with specific reference to the Belt and Road and America First initiatives; and (7) effects on education and other service industries. Inputs are welcome and will be posted to the conference website; participants will respond to questions delivered before the roundtable date.
Registration for the Conference/Roundtable is required but there is no fee. Send questions and inputs to VirusConfPSU@gmail.com. Organizers hope to make a recording of the Conference/Roundtable available.

Concept Note:

The emergence of a new strain of coronavirus, COVID-19, one of a more lethal strain of a class of virus that cause disease in humans, has had a profound effect on virtually all aspects of human activity. A February 2020 Report published in JAMA reported that of the 72,314 cases of COVID-19 studied (as of 11 February 2020), about 81% of the cases were classified as mild, but that mortality rates varied from about 15% for patients over 80 years of age, 8% for patients 70-79 years of age, and 49% among the critically ill. The average mortality for all people in the study was about 2.3% (Study of 72,000 COVID-19 patients finds 2.3% death rate (24 Feb. 2020)). Of these, male mortality (2.8%) exceeded female mortality (1.7%) (Age, Sex, Existing Conditions of COVID-19 Cases and Deaths (23 February 2020)). As of 28 February 2020, 2,868 deaths have been reported of 83,905 confirmed cases in 57 countries (Worldometer, Coronavirus Death Toll (28 Feb 2020)). By 27 February, the World Health Organization announced that the COVID-19 outbreak “has reached a ‘decisive point’ and has ‘pandemic potential’” (Coronavirus: Outbreak at ‘decisive point’ as WHO urges action).

While the medical and health implications of COVID-19 are profound, the effects of the disease on governance, law, and international affairs will likely be even more significant and long lasting. Between December and March 2020, as the original epicenter of infection, China was both the first and a particularly hard hit area. China quickly applied a series of strong measures. Core provinces have been effectively quarantined, and the state has had to undertake significant procedures to slow down and more quickly reduce the effects of infection. Many of these measures, modified to suit local conditions, were thereafter adopted as the epidemic morphed into a pandemic from January through March 2020. Chinese officials have had to deal with issues of administrative integrity in the response to the epidemic, as well as the traumatic responsibility to ensure the health and safety of its populations, and the need to rapidly expand its medical facilities to meet the needs of the sick. At the same time, China has seen a substantial collective response by people on the ground who have sacrificed livelihood, convenience and sometimes their lives to meet the threat posed by COVID-19 to the people, the state and society.

The effects of the COVID-19 infection in China has also had profound effects on supply and production chains running through China. For example, Alcoa Corp. recently noted supply chain bottlenecks in China for critical resources; Apple, Inc. announced that it would not meet its 2nd quarter financial guidance; Boston Scientific expected revenues from sales to China to be significantly affected; Best Buy expected difficulty in product availability from goods sources in China for much of 2020; and luxury brands seller Capri Holdings expected the sale of its goods worldwide to be negatively affected (What Apple, Microsoft, Nike and other U.S. companies are saying about the coronavirus outbreak). It has also profoundly affected the way in which the Chinese Communist Party is undertaking its own path to guidance (Chinese Policy Moves Forward in the Shadow of COVID-19 Analysis of Xi Jinping presides over the meeting of the Political Bureau of the Central Committee to analyze the domestic and foreign new COVID-19 epidemic prevention and control and economic operation situation). The supervision of lower level cadres and provincial officials in their political work has also
produced significant response (今日晚间，国家监委调查组发布关于群众反映的涉及李文亮医生有关情况调查的通报．[Tonight, the investigation team of the State Supervision Commission released a report on the investigation of the situation involving Dr. Li Wenliang, which was reported by the masses]).

The effects have been particularly notable in global financial markets. By the end of February 2020, for example, U.S. market indexes had lost about 4% of their value from pre-COVID-19 trading (Dow Jones Plunges As Coronavirus Stock Market Correction Intensifies). Yet, even in this context there were economic winners. Cisco Systems reported that its “Webex division, which develops and sells online meeting and video conferencing applications, has seen an expanded user base in the wake of the coronavirus outbreak. Traffic on some of Webex’s routes in China has increased 22 times, while the company has seen between four and five times as many users in Japan, South Korea and Singapore” (Here’s how the 30 Dow industrials companies are prepping for the impact of the coronavirus). By April 2020, it was clear that the conventional structures of globalization and the effective operation of global production has suffered (The Deglobalization Virus?).

But the effects have not been limited to China. Neighboring Asian states, particularly South Korea and Japan, have been affected. On 28 February, authorities in Hokkaido declared a state of emergency; ”The situation has become more serious. I’d like people to refrain from going outside over the weekend to protect your lives and health,” Hokkaido Gov. Naomichi Suzuki said in the declaration” (Hokkaido declares state of emergency over coronavirus). Korea faces both a challenge in the protection of life and in the health of its economy (South Korea Spends Billions to Blunt Coronavirus’s Economic Impact). European states have begun to experience substantial potential disruption of life and states have begun to take extraordinary measures in Italy and other European States (Coronavirus: Quarantined inside Italy’s red zone; France sees second coronavirus death; Greece confirms first case). In the United States, President Trump recently appointed Vice President Pence to head a task force on federal responses to COVID-19 (New coronavirus case may be 1st sign of "community spread" in U.S.). By the end of February Brazil reported its first COV-19 case and the disease has made its way to sub-Saharan Africa (Coronavirus latest: first infection reported in sub-Saharan Africa) where “The Nigeria Centre for Disease Control reported the case on 27 February and said it was working to trace the infected person’s contact.” Israel has also reported its first case, with a suspected transmission from Italy (Third Israeli Tests Positive for Coronavirus in Israel). In many countries schools, from primary schools to universities, to have been closed and instructors are moving quickly to develop delivery of education remotely (Coronavirus: Japan to close all schools to halt spread). Much of Chinese education has now gone online (100 Million Kids Have Gone Back to School Online). At the same time universities have begun to ban overseas travel to designated states and to bring students home who are studying abroad.

By April 2020, what had at first been assumed to be a more intense version of prior smaller scale epidemics—SARS, MERS, and the like—exploded into a substantially more lethal and disruptive pandemic. Italy was the first to feel significant effect (COVID-19 and the Unadorned Italian Experience: Flora Sapio Reports from the Field). By February–March, the center of infection moved from Asia to Europe. And in Europe, the initially hardest hit states were in the Mediterranean—Italy and Spain in particular. For both states, the lessons learned were quite hard—from the approaches to containment, to the policies of treating and segregating patients (The lessons Italy has learned about its COVID-19 outbreak could help the rest of the world). Like Italy, Spain declared a national emergency, imposed severe restrictions on movement, and faced an enormous death toll (Spain orders non-essential workers stay home for two weeks). Africa. As well is confronting the pandemic both as a health and an economic calamity (Jonathan Kiwana and David F.K. Mpanga: "Coronavirus Disease Outbreak: Some Legal Considerations for Business in Uganda").
The United States confronted the full force of the pandemic only in March 2020. The nation went from an observer to close to the center of infection. Like other nations, its economy, politics, and societal organization has been affected. With state and national orders to slowly and ten more quickly require the closure of a substantial portion of the economic sector, the suffering of those infected is now aligned with a substantial portion of the population whose economic security has been threatened (EXCLUSIVE: Half-mile line of cars at a food bank as Orlando’s cratered economy struggles to feed the newly unemployed: Devastating pictures show impact of theme parks’ shutdown on city which depends on tourism). The federal government, like many governments in other parts of the world, has sought to ameliorate the suffering through significant infusions of cash (and subsequently the need to incur substantial public debt, the consequences of which remain unknown (Text of the “Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act” or the “CARES Act” [HR 748]). But these measures may do little more than suggest the extent to which strong governmental and private institutional measures (especially those targeting self-preservation and collective stability) produce a context of free riding in which individuals will eventually bear a substantially large part of collective response (Subsidizing the Free-Riding State and Enterprise Apparatus on the Backs of those Least Capable of bearing that Burden--The Micro Consequences of COVID-19 and Containment Measures). The resulting political, societal and business risk affects all states irrespective of the character of their political-economic model (The Collapse of the Quarantine Hotel: Thoughts on Business, Legal, Political, and Societal Risk for State and Enterprise COVID-19 Strategies).

COVID-19, then, has left virtually no aspect of human society unaffected in any part of the world (Yuri Gonzalez Hernandez: Report on the Emerging Scope of COVID-19 in Cuba). It has intensified suicide and madness as transparency provides both a measure of mitigation and hysteria (COVID-19 and Suicide--The Dark Side of Narrative and the Agony of Pandemic). It implicates and transforms the conversation about sustainability (Sustainability and COVID-19--Water Scarcity, Epidemics, and the Case of Cuba). It has produced contests for control of the narrative of pandemic that appears to have been as intensely debated as the efforts made to alleviate the suffering of the infected (Dueling COVID-19 Banjos: The United States and China Fiddle With Narrative While the Pandemic Burns; Origin Stories and COVID-19: The Value of Stories of the Lab Created Origins of COVID-19). COVID-19 has been intermeshed with larger political and economic trajectories in the relations between the United States and China (“Global Supply Chains, Forced Labor, and the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region”; The Congressional-Executive Commission on China (CECC), Economic Decoupling, and Supply Chains in the Shadow of COVID-19). It has centered the hard decisions about who lies and who dies, who receives hospital treatment and who does not, in ways that may touch not just on moral choices but on the way in which society values human life in fact even as it asserts a rule of law rejecting such valuations in theory (NYC paramedics are told to STOP taking heart attack patients who have little chance of survival to hospitals already swamped with coronavirus cases). The spillover of hysteria and false information to other targets also products tragedy, especially when aimed at domestic animals, and principally pets (Dogs and COVID-19: An Emerging Tragedy Born of Fear). COVID-19 has underlined the substantial connection between health, economics, and politics, on a micro and macro level (The Convergence of Economics, Politics, and Health as Globalization Moves into its New Era: 16 March 2020 G7 Leader’s Statement). At the same time, COVID-19 has opened a path to a revolution in the use of data driven governance, big data, and artificial intelligence in the management of society and collective responses to pandemic (Automated Law and COVID-19: Data Driven Measures With National Characteristics In China and Israel and the Future of the Law-Governance Complex; Data Driven Management of COVID-19: The Case of Taiwan). Lastly, the effects on education systems globally have been profound in the short term—moving much educational delivery to online formats—and may have lingering effects on the way in which society approaches the conception and delivery of education (American University Responses to the COVID-19 Infection Threat; CDC “Interim Guidance for
Administrators of US Institutions of Higher Education (IHE) to Plan, Prepare, and Respond to Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)

The COVID-19 epidemic has brought out both the best and worst of individuals and human societies. Perhaps emblematic of these actions was the situation around the quarantining of cruise ships (Coronavirus-contaminated cruise ships mirror the global crisis (“The new coronavirus breaks down the promise of the cruise ship as a bubble of independent banality of the open ocean. As the virus — and fears of the virus — spread, the problems balloon, and the bubble bursts. And it shows how that environment reflects the medical, political, and cultural effects of epidemic disease.”)). Especially notable was the now well-known challenges for passengers in Japan (Updates on Diamond Princess) and Italy (Costa Cruises says passenger has common flu, after 6,000 tourists were held amid coronavirus fears). For example, while the actions of the Cambodian state in permitting passengers to disembark from a cruise ship was hailed as a positive humanitarian gesture, the discovery after disembarkation that at least one passenger was infected (Coronavirus: How did Cambodia’s cruise ship welcome go wrong?) suggested the challenges that even the simplest action might produce (Coronavirus Infection Found After Cruise Ship Passengers Disperse). But the speed with which states closed their borders also indicated the fragility of globalization and its encouragement of free movement of people in the wake of fear of infection and the need to protect local populations (Turkey and Pakistan close borders with Iran after eight deaths, while in northern Italy towns are on lockdown after jump in cases). This is particularly acute for states that rely on the quintessential economic sector that embodies the foundational ideal of globalization—tourism (Pavel Vidal: "Analysis: Coronavirus to Deliver a Blow to Cuban Tourism"). And it has presented a crisis for morality—as all sorts of actors seek to use the cover of the pandemic to advance partisan political positions (Morality and COVID-19: Abortion in the Shadows of Coronavirus and its Challenge for Moral Stances).

Those best and worst impulses may eventually embed themselves into the patterns of behavior and expressed as law, policy or cultural bias. The outer boundaries of these worst impulses van border on the absurd. On 28 February 2020, for example, it was reported that a survey indicated that about 38% of beer drinkers are boycotting the Mexican beer Corona. “‘There is no question that Corona beer is suffering because of the coronavirus,’ said Ronn Torossian, the founder of 5WPR, the public relations firm which conducted the survey. ’Could one imagine walking into a bar and saying, "Hey, can I have a Corona?" or "Pass me a Corona"?,’ he said” (Coronavirus fear sparks boycott of Corona BEER as survey finds 38% of Americans say they now won’t drink the lager). At bottom, then, COVID-19 has served to reveal both the strengths and weaknesses of the global structures of human society as it has evolved under the current model that was crafted in the wake of the end of the Second World War (Mehr News Agency Interview: "COVID-19 revealing little parts of fundamental shifting of global ordering: Prof. Larry Backer").

Outside of China there have been indications of mistrust of Chinese efforts (China Spins Coronavirus Crisis, Hailing Itself as a Global Leader). Insinuations of the connection between COVID-19 and bio-warfare activity have been made (Coronavirus may have originated in lab linked to China’s biowarfare program). Inside China there has been a sense that the epidemic might be used strategically to weaken China. The equation of COVID-19 with China has produced episodes of anti-Chinese behaviors outside of China (No Chinese allowed: Racism and fear are now spreading along with the coronavirus). And it has provided an opportunity to express race and cultural prejudice (Coronavirus outbreak leading to racism against Asians) from eating habits to social organization to business (Wave of racist attacks against Asian Americans in wake of coronavirus outbreak). At the same time, people have flouted quarantine rules, potentially imperilling others, and increasing the likelihood of more severe steps taken in response by state authorities. Israeli researchers recently reported coming closer to a COV-19 vaccine (Israeli scientists claim to be weeks away from coronavirus vaccine).
started coding. Mobile apps that help track the disease in South Korea ranked as six of the top 15 downloads on the country’s Google Play app store on Thursday. Developers of some apps – which source their data from public government information – told CNN Business that they have been getting a surge in downloads since launching their products earlier this month” (Coronavirus mobile apps are surging in popularity in South Korea). At the same time, devices used to monitor and track individuals may become more commonplace, providing challenges in the context of data protection, markets for data and data use by private and public organs. At the same time, the transparency by state officials has been subject to criticism, and not just in China (White House GAGS government health experts from speaking about coronavirus saying they must have Mike Pence’s approval for EVERYTHING they say about mounting crisis - despite VP having zero medical qualifications). But such a policy was tempered by the value of transparency as a positive political and health measure, and the Trump Administration proved adept at changing strategy as the public mood changed (Coronavirus Live Updates: White House Debates How Far to Go on Face Mask Guidelines). At the same time U.S. Governors evidenced the resiliency and perhaps re-invigoration of the federal system; the public persona of New York Governor Cuomo was of particular note (Draft Cuomo 2020' groundswell emerges amid the New York governor's coronavirus response). While there may be perfectly good reasons for these decisions (among them the need to preserve social order and reduce likelihood of irrational panics), it is becoming clearer that, in either liberal democratic or Marxist-Leninist Systems, the failure to justify the modalities of transparency can subject the government and its officials to some sometimes substantial costs.

This Roundtable brings together experts in law and international affairs from Asia, Europe, North America and the Caribbean to discuss the collateral effects of CORVID-19 in those terms. Participants include Sun Ping (East China University of Political Science and Law); Flora Sapio (Università degli Studi di Napoli L’Orientale); Keren Wang (Penn State Dept of Communication Arts and Sciences); Sun Yuhua (East China University of Political Science and Law); Maria Chiara Marullo (Universitat Jaume I Valencia Spain); Gao Shan (Business Analyst, Thompson Reuters, lawyer licensed in China and New York); Miaoqiang Dai (SIA MIA 2019); Nicolas Schulz (Ludwig Maximilians University (MA 2019) (Munich, Germany); Alice Hong (SIA, RNLIA); and Larry Catá Backer (Penn State International Affairs and Law). In addition, interventions will be made with a focus on Africa and the Caribbean region.  These may be delivered in written or oral form.

Participants will speak to seven broad issue areas:

(1) misperceptions about the situation in China;

(2) the use of coronavirus as a veil for racism;

(3) national responses to perceptions of crisis;

(4) effects of coronavirus on the movement of people, investment and capital across borders;

(5) consequences of coronavirus for the state of international affairs and legal structures (e.g., quarantines, education, supply and production chains, human rights versus collective responsibilities, etc.);

(6) repercussions for big global trade projects, with specific reference to the Belt and Road and America First initiatives; and

(7) effects on education and other service industries.
The Roundtable will be organized as follows: Each participant will be permitted a very short initial intervention (about 5 minutes), which can be supplemented by additional written materials posted to the Roundtable Website). Additional interventions may be added. After these initial statements, the Roundtable will be open to questions. The Roundtable will be developed in two forms. All interested individuals and organizations are encouraged to submit questions before 20 March 2020. Questions should be submitted via e-mail to VirusConfPSU@gmail.com with the subject line “Roundtable Questions.”

Questions will be posted to the Conference Website to encourage further dialogue. These questions will be answered either during the Roundtable or thereafter. Questions submitted during the course of the Roundtable should be sent to the same address and will be answered on the Roundtable Website.

In addition, Inputs are welcome and will be posted to the conference website; participants will respond to questions delivered before the roundtable date. Individuals or organizations interested in submitting inputs should be guided by the theme of this Concept Note and more specifically by the focus of the seven discussion issue areas described above. Please identify yourselves and your organization if any that is submitting the Input and indicate whether you wish to include contact information. All inputs should be submitted to VirusConfPSU@gmail.com with the Subject heading “Roundtable Inputs.”

Given the realities of pandemic mitigation and institutional responses, it is no longer possible to conduct a live meeting. This Conference/Roundtable, though originally conceived as a conventional live event, has now been revised to be delivered as a WEBINAR. We hope that technology will make that change both seamless and of value to individuals who are interested in participating. Conference/Roundtable organizers will strive to make a recording of the event available at a later date.